

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/678,868	10/03/2003	Karen Lynn DeMartini	5863-00101	1284	
35690	7590 10/03/2006	0 10/03/2006		EXAMINER	
MEYERTONS, HOOD, KIVLIN, KOWERT & GOETZEL, P.C.			EL ARINI, ZEINAB		
	700 LAVACA, SUITE 800 AUSTIN, TX 78701		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			1746		
			DATE MAILED: 10/03/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		10/678,868	DEMARTINI, KAREN LYNN		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Zeinab E. EL-Arini	1746		
Period fo	<ul> <li>The MAILING DATE of this communication apports</li> <li>Reply</li> </ul>	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE in a sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Poeriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
2a)⊠	Since this application is in condition for alloward	action is non-final.  nce except for formal matters, pro			
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4:	03 U.G. 213.		
Dispositi	on of Claims				
5) □ 6) ⊠ 7) □ 8) □ • <b>Applicati</b> 9) □ 10) □	Claim(s) 1-9 and 11-26 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-9 and 11-26 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or on Papers  The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corrections.	vn from consideration.  r election requirement.  r.  epted or b) □ objected to by the ledrawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the ledrawing(s) is objected to by the ledrawing(s).	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). sected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
11)[	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some col None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
2) Notic 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal P 6)  Other:	nte		

#### DETAILED ACTION

The amendment and remarks filed 7/24/06 have been acknowledged and entered.

Claims 1-9, and 11-26 are pending.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 18-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 18, line 4, "the leak detection device" lacks antecedent basis. At line 8, "external to the leak detection device" is confusing because it is not clear if it is external to the leak diversion device or to the leak detection device.

The rejections under 35 U.S.C. 112, first and second paragraphs stated in paper No.012006 have been withdrawn in view of applicant's amendment and remarks.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-9 and 11-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Miller in combination with Jones (4,580,442) new reference, DE 10062361 (DE'361), and GB 2272553 (GB'553).

Miller discloses an apparatus comprising a device and a leak diversion device and the method as claimed. See the abstract, col. 2, lines 3-34, and the claims.

Miller as discussed supra does not teach the pallet, the slanted surface is attached to at least part of the pallet, the supporting, the permeable surface, the hose, and the dye as claimed.

Jones '442 discloses a method for locating leaks . The reference discloses using water-soluble dye. See the abstract, and the claims.

GB'553 discloses an apparatus comprises a device and a leak diversion device.

The diversion device comprises a slanted surface positioned to contact fluid released from the device. The reference discloses the device and a method as claimed. See the abstract, figures 1 and 2, and the claims.

DE'361 discloses an apparatus comprising a device and a leak diversion device as claimed. The reference discloses the device, the supporting, a surface coupled to the leak diversion device that has at least one hole, a hose coupled to the leak diversion device, and the method as claimed.

It would have been obvious for one skilled in the art to use the hose taught by DE'361, the dye taught by Jones or means for detecting the leak taught by GB'553 in the Miller apparatus to detect the leak, because it is well known in the art to use color or dye to detect the liquid leak. The system and the support as taught by Miller are

Application/Control Number: 10/678,868 Page 4

Art Unit: 1746

functionally equivalent to the claimed system. Re the permeable surface, the separate horizontal open grate 44 with vertical supports 46 to support articles (Miller, col. 3, lines 62-68) is functionally equivalent to the permeable surface as claimed. It is well known in the art to use hose to divert the leak to drain of the substrate.

## Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 21-22, 24 and 26 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-7 of U.S. Patent No. 6,718,993. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the apparatus as claimed are equivalent.

#### Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-9 and 11-26 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

2. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Zeinab E. EL-Arini whose telephone number is (571) 272-1301. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Barr can be reached on (571) 272-1414. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/678,868 Page 6

Art Unit: 1746

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Zeinah Clorini Zeinab E. EL-Arini Primary Examiner Art Unit 1746

ZEE 09/26/06